

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/713,498	11/15/2000	Chaoying Zhao	014938.0003	4672
20594 7	7590 03/26/2002			
CHRISTOPHER J. ROURK AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P. P O BOX 688			EXAMINER	
			PAK, JOHN D	
DALLAS, TX	75313-0688		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	0
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- 1

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/713,498 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Zhao



Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		Pak, J.	1616	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extrasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be consumicated timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be consuminated timely in the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the eart or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED 135 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the eart or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED 135 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the eart or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED 135 U.S.C. § 133).  - This action is FINAL.  - 2b)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence addre	ess
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less ten thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED I35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if smely filed, may reduce any earned partent term adjustment. See 37 OFF 1.704(b).  Status    Status	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI	ET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM	
-If No pariod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than throe months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on Feb 9, 2002	after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun	nication.	, , ,	•
Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 9, 2002  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-7	<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after</li> </ul>	by statute, cause the application to bec	ome ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☒ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7	•			
3	1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 9,	2002		·
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This a	action is non-final.		
Aa) Of the above, claim(s)	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		e merits is
Is/are withdrawn from consideration.   Is/are withdrawn from consideration.   Is/are allowed.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.   Application Papers   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction for a leaf for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a).   In/are proposed drawing correction for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No is/are proposed drawing proposed by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing correction for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   In/are proposed drawing proposed by the Examiner.   In/are proposed drawing proposed by the E	Disposition of Claims			
is/are allowed.   6)   Claim(s)	4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are	e pending in the	application.
6   □   Claim(s)   1-7   is/are rejected.   7   □   Claim(s) _ is/are objected to.   8   □   Claims _ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   Application Papers   9   □   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   10   □   The drawing(s) filled on _ is/are objected to by the Examiner.   11   □   The proposed drawing correction filled on _ is: a) □   approved   b) □   disapproved.   12   □   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119     13   □   Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).   a) □   All   b) □   Some*   c) □   None of:   1. □   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2. □   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   3. □   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   14   □   Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).   Attachment(s)   18   □   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e)     16   □   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   19   □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fr	om consideration.
Claims	5) Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☒ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>		is/are rejected.	
Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on	7)		is/are objected	to.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on	8)	are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☒ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  19) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a) □ All b) □ Some* c) ▼ None of:  1. ▼ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) ▼ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). □ □ □  16) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a) □ All b) □ Some* c) ▼ None of:  1. ▼ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) ▼ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  19) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved	b)□ disapprov	ed.
13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a) □ All b) □ Some* c) ▼ None of:  1. ▼ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ .  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) ▼ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). □ .  16) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1. ▼ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) ▼ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  16) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) 🗓 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $ ot X$ None of:			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application N	lo	·
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National S	stage
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			(e).	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		18) Interview Summer, IDTO 4123 Penns	No(e)	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<del>-</del>		. ,	

Serial Number: 09/713,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-7 are pending in this application.

Applicant's election with traverse of a single disclosed species containing sodium chloride, sodium bicarbonate, calcium lactate, hydroxyethyl starch, dextran and gelatin derivatives in Paper No. 8 (2/19/02) is acknowledged. Applicant is advised that the following expanded elected subject matter will be included in the examination on the merits – any combination of sodium chloride and hydroxyethyl starch. The claims will presently be examined to the extent that they read on the expanded elected subject matter. Applicant traverses to the extent that the prior art must be closer than non-elected subject matter. It is so noted.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- (1) In claim 1, it is unclear whether the percentages recited in the claims are intended for single individual ingredients or for the total of a mixture of ingredients.
- (2) In claim 1 and elsewhere, proper nomenclature should not recite a double dash mark for chemical nomenclature or numerical dashes.
- (3) In claim 1, phrase "as well as the remainder of conventional injections" is improper and informal. It is also unclear as to what is considered the metes and bounds of "conventional injections" (claim 1 and elsewhere).
  - (4) In claim 7, there is an extra period in the eleventh line of the claim.

Serial Number: 09/713,498 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

(5) In claim 7, "proportion described above" is improper referential language.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 98/08500.

WO 98/08500 explicitly discloses a hypertonic composition comprising L-arginine, sodium chloride (including 6 wt%, see claim 14) and hetastarch (hydroxyethyl starch, minimum 5 wt%, see Table 3). See claims 1-3, 6-7, 10-11, 13-14. Applicant's claim 1 is therefore anticipated or at the very least rendered obvious within the meaning of section 103(a).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/08500.

WO 98/08500 explicitly discloses a hypertonic composition comprising L-arginine, sodium chloride (including 6 wt%, see claim 14) and hetastarch (hydroxyethyl starch, minimum 5 wt%,

Serial Number: 09/713,498 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

see Table 3). See claims 1-3, 6-7, 10-11, 13-14. Lowering the hypertonicity of the saline from 7.5% is suggested (see page 7, line 22 to page 8, line 2).

While specific MW of hydroxyethyl starch and specific mixing steps are not expressly disclosed by the cited reference, the ordinary skilled artisan would have been motivated to utilize well known, physiologically acceptable hydroxyethyl starch, which have the physical characteristics that are recited in the claims, and the ordinary skilled artisan would also have been motivated to mix the ingredients to formulate an injectable, physiologically acceptable solution. Claimed concentrations of NaCl and hydroxyethyl starch clearly overlap with those of the cited reference, and the reference also suggests an advantage of lower than 7.5% saline.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been fairly suggested by the teachings of the cited reference.

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Pak whose telephone number is (703) 308-4538. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. José Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600